

### Overview

❖ The Timing Conundrum: Keeping Focused on the Process

July 28, 2021

# **Dear Fellow Investor:**

For the half year ended June 30, fully invested accounts in the Starvine Flagship Strategy increased 36.1% to 36.9%, while fully-invested accounts in the Mid-Large Cap Strategy increased 31.1% to 31.8%. During the period, the S&P TSX Total Return Index increased 17.3% and the S&P 500 Total Return Index increased 12% in Canadian dollars (15.5% in USD)<sup>1</sup>. The U.S. dollar weakened by 2.8% relative to the Canadian dollar over the first half; this was a modest detractor given the significant presence of U.S. listed equities in each strategy.

Annualized to June 30, 2021.	<u>Flagship</u>	Mid-Large Cap
Inception date	Feb. 10, 2015	Mar. 16, 2017
Trailing:		
One year	65.7%	61.4%
Two Years	24.0%	22.7%
Three Years	13.3%	14.0%
Four Years	13.3%	12.6%
Five Years	15.8%	n/a
Since Inception	9.2%	12.3%
Calendar year results:	<u>Flagship</u>	Mid-Large Cap
Carcindar year results.	<u>r ragsrup</u>	mid Large Cap
Inception date	Feb. 10, 2015	Mar. 16, 2017
•	9 1	
Inception date	Feb. 10, 2015	Mar. 16, 2017
Inception date 2021 year to date	Feb. 10, 2015	Mar. 16, 2017 31.7%
Inception date 2021 year to date 2020	Feb. 10, 2015  36.8%  5.1%	Mar. 16, 2017 31.7% 6.2%
Inception date  2021 year to date 2020 2019	Feb. 10, 2015  36.8%  5.1%  19.9%	Mar. 16, 2017  31.7% 6.2% 21.4%
Inception date  2021 year to date 2020 2019 2018	Feb. 10, 2015  36.8% 5.1% 19.9% -6.7%	Mar. 16, 2017  31.7% 6.2% 21.4% -6.6%
Inception date  2021 year to date 2020 2019 2018 2017	Feb. 10, 2015  36.8% 5.1% 19.9% -6.7% 14.5%	Mar. 16, 2017  31.7% 6.2% 21.4% -6.6% 3.9%

<sup>1</sup> The benchmarks cited by Starvine are standards against which the performance of the strategies can be measured. However, the Starvine strategies approach portfolio construction with a bottom-up approach and thus do not refer to the composition of any index as a reference from which to select securities. Performance of the strategies may differ significantly relative to benchmarks in any time period.

The composite figures above are unaudited and include discretionary fee-paying accounts within each respective mandate. Composite results are presented as time weighted rates of return, net of managementfees and other expenses. Results will vary with subscription date, most notably for recently formed accounts whose position weightings and cash levels tend to differ initially from the investment strategies. Clients will therefore each have their own net-of-all fees performance results from investing in Starvine. References made to indices may provide clients with a benchmark to compare results. However, the Starvine strategies are operated with a bottom-up framework and thus no effort is committed to tracking any index. Lastly, the composite results displayed above should not be interpreted as a reference for potential future returns.

\_\_\_\_\_

More than half of the returns in H1 2021 occurredin February, a month when the confluence of the following events caused an unusual result during the first quarter and the semiannual period: (1) company specific catalysts including the announcement of a take-over bid for a holding that was present in both strategies, (2) a rebound of value stocks, and (3) the continuation of a bull market.

During H1, there was a higher than usual level of portfolio turnover as two holdings were replaced in each strategy and a number of rebalancing trades were executed. Please refer to the quarterly email for clients that I sent in conjunction with this letter for company-specific commentary and the performance statement.

On the administration front, Starvine Capital will soon be able to manage all major account types in Canada as a result of current efforts to add National Bank Independent Network (NBIN) as an additional custodian. Up until now, I have been able to onboard only clients with RRSP, spousal RRSP, TFSA, and non-registered account types. I look forward to being able to help more individuals for whom the Starvine strategies are a good fit.

\_\_\_\_\_

# Getting the Timing Right - The Gazillion Dollar Question

How much does market timing factor into my decision making? The answer is zero. That is not to say a few others have not timed the fluctuations of the pandemic well or that trying to time the market cannot lead to profits, because it can. It's just that I know of very few investors who were better served by hoarding large amounts of cash while markets were statistically expensive and then waiting for a big correction. As the old adage goes, "more money has been lost preparing for corrections than the corrections themselves."

One viable approach for the layman is to faithfully buy into low-cost ETFs that mimic indexes. I believe this option would produce a decent result for most people over a lifetime. It is almost free in that the management fees are negligible - a permanent advantage over all active management options. Even Warren Buffett recommends the passive index approach and has stated that he will have it used for his wife (shocking given the option of investing in Berkshire) after he is gone. However, the present arithmetic embedded in certain indexes - especially the S&P 500 - does not look compelling to me.

There has been no shortage of media coverage or market prognosticators claiming that an imminent market crash is around the corner. Most of the fuel for that argument is supplied by the high price-earnings ratio of the S&P 500. As per the H2 2020 investment commentary I released in January, let us revisit the Shiller PE

ratio, which attempts to remove cyclicality in earnings by taking a trailing 10-year average and adjusting past figures for inflation. In January, the ratio was standing at approximately 34x, and now only six months later, it has risen to 38x - a level that has been exceeded only one time in recorded history.

#### Shiller PE Ratio



Source: Robert Shiller

Does the above graph scare you? The truth is I haven't looked at it since writing the January commentary, and that is because I know the Shiller PE is a weighted average of the index, which is disproportionately affected by mega cap technology names trading at high multiples. The media is referring mainly to the optics created by the above graph, and while one should pause and reflect, there has been little effort by the business news to examine beneath the surface.

If I had limited the scope of my investing only tomimicking the S&P 500, then yes, I would be extremely concerned because I would be subjecting myself to the current valuation. According to a recent report by Yardeni Research, the market cap share of the S&P 500 represented by FAANGM (Facebook, Apple, Amazon, Netflix, Google, and Microsoft) is 26%, up from 8% in 2013. The notion that one is buying a diversified basket of 500 stocks in the S&P is incongruent with the fact that only six of the constituents (or 1.2% of 500) occupy such a large portion of the benchmark.

It would be misleading to claim that a portfolio of traditional value stocks provides better short term protection against significant market corrections. Logically, it makes sense to expect an undervalued stock to decline less during a sell-off, but in practice that definitely has not been the case for my strategies in any of the drawdowns since inception. In the near term, technical factors can overwhelm rational buying and selling.

That being the case, why not sell half of the holdings and sit on a lot of cash until the next crash? The short answer is that such a decision would mean letting macro fears override the bottom-up assembly of my strategies. Keeping a modest level of cash in the portfolio despite being able to find ample compelling opportunities is fine. However, sitting indefinitely on a tremendous amount of cash (e.g. >20%) and selling undervalued (or even fairly valued) compounders to achieve that is, in my opinion, not a winning strategy over the long run. Going back to my Q4 2016 letter, the capital gains from holding a stock over a prolonged period can be attributed to the change in trading multiple compounded against the change in the per-share earnings power of a company. Identifying an opportunity that delivers on both drivers will lead to dynamite result. Conversely, overpaying for earnings growth can have the opposite result. Said differently, the long term return on a cash flowing company should resemble the compound annual growth in its earnings, augmented by a lift from multiple expansion if it happens. If an investor can assess and vaguely predict those key levers across a portfolio of stocks over a five to ten year horizon, I would expect market timing efforts on average to be counterproductive.

-----

I have the privilege of managing money because clients entrust me to help them meet their retirement objectives. It so happens that their long term objectives align well with my passion for finding investment opportunities. While not easy by any means, the process never ceases to be fascinating and fun. There is no finite end point for me and no such thing in my opinion as mastery of investing. We can, however, always strive to improve the quality of our thinking.

Sincerely,
Steven Ko
Portfolio Manager

#### **DISCLAIMER**

Readers are advised that the material herein should be used solely for informational purposes. Starvine Capital Corporation ("SCC") does not purport to tell or suggest which investment securities members or readers should buy or sell for themselves. Readers should always conduct their own research and due diligence and obtain professional advice before making any investment decision. SCC will not be liable for any loss or damage caused by a reader's reliance on information obtained in any of our newsletters, presentations, special reports, email correspondence, or on our website. Readers are solely responsible for their own investment decisions. The information contained herein does not constitute a representation by the publisher or a solicitation for the purchase or sale of securities. Our opinions and analyses are based on sources believed to be reliable and are written in good faith, but no representation or warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to their accuracy or completeness. All information contained in our newsletters, presentations or on our website should be independently verified with the companies mentioned. The editor and publisher are not responsible for errors or omissions. Past performance does not guarantee future results. Investment returns will fluctuate and there is no assurance that a client's account can maintain a specific net liquidation value. The S&P 500 Total Return Index and the S&P/TSX Composite Total Return Index ("the indexes") are similar to Starvine's investment strategy in that all include publicly traded equities of various market capitalizations across several industries, and reflect both movements in the stock prices as well as reinvestment of dividend income. However, there are several differences between Starvine's investment strategy and the indexes, as Starvine can take concentrated positions in single equities, and may invest in companies that have smaller market capitalizations than those that are included in the indexes. In addition, the indexes do not include any fees or expenses whereas the return data presented is net of all fees and expenses. SCC receives no compensation of any kind from any companies that are mentioned in our newsletters or on our website. Any opinions expressed are subject to change without notice. The Starvine investment strategy and other related parties may hold positions in the securities that are discussed in the newsletters, presentations or on the company website.